

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
<p>The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the Department of Defense, Executive Service Directorate (0704-0188). Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.</p> <p><b>PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ORGANIZATION.</b></p>					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 08/29/2017		2. REPORT TYPE Journal		3. DATES COVERED (From - To)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Prevalence of Hepatitis B and C in US Air Force Basic Military Trainees				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Capt Douglas Francis Taylor				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 59th Clinical Research Division 1100 Willford Hall Loop, Bldg. 4430 JBSA-Lackland, TX 78236-9908 210-292-7141				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER  17342	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 59th Clinical Research Division 1100 Willford Hall Loop, Bldg. 4430 JBSA-Lackland, TX 78236-9908 210-292-7141				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release. Distribution is unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE			Clarice Longoria
					19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code) 210-292-7141

# Prevalence of Hepatitis B and C in US Air Force Basic Military Trainees from Blood Donations

*Douglas F. Taylor, DO (Capt, USAF)<sup>1</sup>; Maj. Ryan S. Cho, MD (Maj, USAF)<sup>1</sup>; Lt Col Jason F. Okulicz, MD (Lt Col, USAF)<sup>2</sup>; Maj Bryant J. Webber, MD, MPH (Maj, USAF)<sup>3</sup>; Lt Col John G. Gancayco, MD (Maj, USAF)<sup>1</sup>*

## Abstract

Hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) can cause significant morbidity in military service members and may potentially negatively impact mission readiness. Prevalence among military recruits accessioning into the United States Air Force for hepatitis B and C has not previously been described. The Joint Base San Antonio-Lackland Blood Donor Center was queried for HBV and HCV screening for all basic military trainees who donated blood between November 25, 2013 through April 16, 2016. Other populations, such as active duty or reserve personnel, were excluded. The estimated prevalence of HBV and HCV was 0.0098% and 0.007%, respectively. This study suggests that the overall estimated prevalence of HBV and HCV infection is much lower among USAF basic trainees compared to both the active duty and US civilian populations. HBV and HCV are viral infections that can negatively impact mission readiness, individual deployment status, and have significant costs for the military. Additional studies are needed to determine cost effectiveness of screening for viral hepatitis among military populations.

**Disclaimer:** The opinions expressed by the authors contributing to this manuscript do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the Department of Defense or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

## Introduction

Chronic hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) infections can cause significant morbidity in military service members due to prolonged inflammatory damage to the liver and potential complications including cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma, and fulminant liver failure which would may necessitate liver transplantation.<sup>1,2</sup> The estimated prevalence of chronic hepatitis B infection in the United States civilian population is 0.4%, however it is possible that the prevalence in the civilian

population is underestimated as prior studies assessing hepatitis B prevalence in the civilian population excluded groups of people at higher risk of infection such as Asians, Pacific Islanders, and homeless populations<sup>3</sup>. The overall prevalence of chronic hepatitis C in the United States has been found to be 0.48%, 5.4% and 1.6% for active duty, veteran, and civilian populations respectively.<sup>4,5,6</sup> The prevalence of chronic hepatitis B infections among residents of group quarters, such as military barracks, college dormitories, nursing homes, and long-term care facilities in the United States has been estimated as approximately 0.5%.<sup>9</sup>

Although the overall prevalence and incidence rates of chronic HBV and HCV are well known in the active duty population, prevalence among military recruits accessioning into the United States Air Force has not been described. Incoming trainees are not routinely screened for acute or chronic hepatitis B and C infection. They are screened for immunity for hepatitis B, and given the vaccination series if negative for the hepatitis B surface antibody. Screening for hepatitis B immunity involves screening only for the surface antibody alone, with a positive test indicating immunity from prior vaccination. Interpretation of serology for Acute and chronic hepatitis B infections, along with the different phases of chronic infection are summarized in table 2. Identifying the prevalence of acute and chronic HBV and HCV is important not only due to the possible health consequences of these infections, but also due to the high cost of clinical evaluation and treatment. Identifying recruits with acute and chronic HBV or HCV is likely beneficial to the military from a mission readiness standpoint as these individuals do not meet the standards for entry into active military service and disease management costs would be shifted to the civilian sector.

## **Methods**

The Joint Base San Antonio-Lackland Blood Donor Center was queried for the results of HBV and HCV screening for all basic military trainees who donated blood between November 25, 2013 through April 16, 2016. A total of 30,660 basic trainees donated blood. Other populations, such as active duty or reserve personnel, were excluded. Demographic data included age, race, sex, and state or country of origin.

Blood from trainees donors was screened for the presence of HBV surface antigen and HCV antibody. If the donated blood was positive for HBV surface antigen, the confirmatory testing was for HBV core antibody and HBV DNA. If positive for HCV antibody, the confirmatory testing was for HCV RNA. Estimated HBV and HCV prevalence among basic trainees who donated blood were calculated with the 30,660 trainees that donated blood used as the denominator to determine prevalence. This retrospective study was approved by the Wilford Hall IRB.

## **Results**

An estimated 30,660 basic trainees donated blood during the study period with approximately 140 basic trainees donating blood each week. A total of 44 basic trainees who donated blood had a positive screen for either HBV or HCV infection during the study period. Five of the trainee donors were positive for HBV surface antigen, and three of them subsequently tested positive for HBV core antibody and HBV DNA. Of the 39 trainee donors who tested positive for HCV antibody, two subsequently tested positive for HCV RNA. Cases were predominantly male (1 female with HCV) and the age range was 18-33 years.

The 3 trainees with confirmed HBV infection were of Asian descent, with 2 from being born in Hawaii and 1 born in Vietnam. The 2 trainees with confirmed HCV infection were both white and were born in Missouri and California (table 1). Based on the estimated number of basic trainees who donated blood during the study period, the estimated prevalence of HBV and HCV was 0.0098% and 0.007% respectively.

## **Discussion**

76 This study suggests that the overall estimated prevalence of HBV and HCV infection is much  
77 lower among USAF basic trainees compared to both the active duty and US civilian populations. This  
78 may be secondary to a reduction in risk factors for HBV and/or HCV as most of the trainees that donated  
79 blood likely did not have blood transfusions prior to 1992 (many were born after 1992), were not born  
80 during high risk time interval, and presumably lack other risk factors that would increase the risk of  
81 acquisition such as high risk sexual behaviors or intravenous drug use.<sup>7</sup>

82 The prior studies in active duty and civilian populations showed a higher prevalence of HCV  
83 among non-hispanic blacks and other racial/ethnic groups.<sup>8</sup> This study in contrast revealed HCV was  
84 confined to whites. The small number of cases presented in this study limits the significance of this  
85 finding and the ability to make comparisons.<sup>9</sup> Of the patients that were positive for HBV, all were of  
86 Asian or Pacific Islander descent which is consistent with the higher prevalence noted in civilian Asian  
87 and Pacific Islanders in the United States.<sup>10</sup>

88 Screening for HBV immunity occurs at the start of basic training. However HBV screening for  
89 immunity involves only screening for the HBV surface antibody, and does not include screening other  
90 markers that suggest acute or chronic infection such as the HBV core antibody, HBV e-antigen, HBV e-  
91 antibody, and HBV DNA. . Some patients could potentially harbor HBV in an inactive carrier state and  
92 if the virus becomes active then the risk of cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) are higher than  
93 HCV.<sup>1</sup> Screening for HBV includes HBV surface antigen, costing \$99 per person and not cost effective  
94 due to very low prevalence in our population. However, it may be reasonable to consider targeting testing  
95 of populations that are at higher risk for HBV such as foreign born Asian and Pacific Islanders.

96 The prior study by Brett-Major et al evaluating the active duty population with assumptions  
97 suggested a cost benefit to HCV screening.<sup>11</sup> Although the cost of HCV treatment varies based on viral  
98 genotype, most new drug regimens targeted at genotype 1 cost approximately \$100,000. Assuming a  
99 screen of the estimated 30,660 basic trainees who donated blood during this study period would cost

\$10.84 per test, a total of \$332,354 would be spent on screening alone, with an additional small cost added for confirmatory testing, compared to approximately \$200,000 spent to treat the 2 positive cases of HCV<sup>11</sup>. It is likely that if the total number of recruits were screened rather than only those that donated blood, that there would be more positive tests for HBV and HCV infection, with the costs of screening potentially exceeding the cost of treatment. Based on this data, this study demonstrates no cost benefit to accession screening for HCV, in comparison to providing treatment of those who are HCV-infected and otherwise have been prevented from entering military service. This discrepancy in the cost-benefit analysis is attributed to the difference in the lower prevalence demonstrated in this study of 0.007% (versus 0.043%, Brett-Major et al), leading to the higher cost of screening weighed against the lower cost of treatment. This analysis however does not factor in the potential long-term costs to the U.S. medical system of managing patients with liver complications due to HCV such as HCC and/or cirrhosis, due to delay diagnosis and treatment.

The limitation of the study includes the sample size and the study population chosen for the study, only including the basic trainees donating blood. This fails to capture the infected basic trainees who chose not to donate blood, leading to possible selection bias due to the healthy donor effect. Donors when compared to the general population tend to be younger, healthier, and likely exposed to less risk factors for acquisition of viral hepatitis, which may potentially the lower prevalence rate of HCV and HBV infection within our study population. However, given our n of 30,660, and the relative health and youth of young military recruits in general, this is unlikely to drastically have changed the results of our study.

HBV and HCV are viral infections that can negatively impact mission readiness, individual deployment status, and have significant costs for the military. Accession screening for HCV does not appear to offer a cost-benefit given the lower costs associated with treatment disease, while a discussion in regards to screening for populations at risk for hepatitis B may be more reasonable, given the much

higher costs associated with screening for HBV. Additional studies are needed to determine cost effectiveness of screening for viral hepatitis among military populations.

*Author affiliations:* <sup>1</sup>Gastroenterology Service, San Antonio Military Medical Center, 3551 Roger Brooke Drive, Fort Sam Houston TX 78234; <sup>2</sup>Infectious Disease Service, San Antonio Military Medical Center, 3551 Roger Brooke Drive, Fort Sam Houston TX 78234; <sup>3</sup>Preventative Medicine Joint Base San Antonio Lackland, 2200 Bergquist Dr, San Antonio, TX 78236.

*Funding:* None to report.

## References

1. Hiotis SP, Rahbari NN, Villanueva GA, et al: Hepatitis B vs. Hepatitis C Infection on Viral Hepatitis-Associated Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *BMC Gastroenterol.* 2012;12(64)
2. Armed Forces Health Surveillance Center AFHSC: Viral hepatitis C, active component, US Armed Forces, 2000-2010. *MSMR* 2011; 18(8), p.10.
3. Kim WR: Epidemiology of Hepatitis B in the United States. *Hepatology* 2009; 49(5 suppl): S28-S34
4. Armstrong GL, Wasley A., Simard EP, et al: The prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection in the United States, 1999 through 2002. *Annals of internal medicine* 2006; 144(10), pp.705-714.
5. Phillips FH and Barnes DM: Meaning of Adherence in Hepatitis C-Infected Military Veterans. *Gastroenterology Nursing* 2016; 39(1), pp.17-23.

6. Briggs ME, Baker C, Hall R, et al: Prevalence and risk factors for hepatitis C virus infection at an urban Veterans Administration medical center. *Hepatology* 2001; 34(6), pp.1200-1205.

7. Alter MJ: Hepatitis C virus infection in the United States. *Journal of hepatology* 1999; 31, pp.88-91.

8. Hyams KC, Riddle J, Rubertone M, et al: Prevalence and incidence of hepatitis C virus infection in the US military: a seroepidemiologic survey of 21,000 troops. *American journal of epidemiology* 2001; 153(8), pp.764-770.

9. Eckman MH, Kaiser TE, Sherman KE: The Cost-effectiveness of Screening for Chronic Hepatitis B Infection in the United States. *Clinical Infectious Disease* 2011; 52(11), pp.1294-1306

10. Scott PT, Niebuhr DW, McGready JB, Gaydos JC: Hepatitis B in United States Military Recruits. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2005; 191(11) pp.1835-1841

11. Brett-Major DM, Frick KD, Malia JA, Hakre S, Okulicz JF: Cost and Consequences: Hepatitis C Seroprevalence in the Military and Its Impact on Potential Screening Strategies. *Hepatology* 2016; 63(1), pp.398-407



Table 1. Basic Trainees with positive testing to hepatitis C and hepatitis B

Characteristics	HCV+	HBV+
<b>Sex</b>		
-Male	1	3
-Female	1	0
<b>Race</b>		
-White	2	0
-African American	0	0
-Asian	0	3
-Other	0	0

Table 2. Hepatitis B serologic testing

HBV infection	HBV surface antigen	HBV IgM core
-Acute infection	x	x
-Resolved infection		
-Vaccination		
<b>Chronic infection</b>		
-Replicative phase	x	
-Nonreplicative phase	x	
-Flare of chronic HBV	x	x

HBV IgG core	HBV e-antigen	HBV e-antibody	HBV surface antibody	HBV DNA
x	x			>20,000
x		x	x	
			x	
x	x			>20,000
x		x		+/-
x	+/-			>2000